Ghosts and Haunts Forum - Ghosts and Haunts

Generated: 29 October, 2025, 08:27

Posting Credible Evidence	dence	∃vid	le	lih	Cred	ostina	Р
---------------------------	-------	------	----	-----	------	--------	---

Posted by bergenparanormal - 29 Sep 2013 01:17

Personally, I feel many of the things being portrayed as " Solid Evidence " is pretty weak. This is not to discredit any team or say I am any better. However, I feel we need to start being more analytic of what we consider worthwhile of displaying for the public. Unfortunately, the sciences are very weak still and many people do not understand the depth of what we do. With ourselves being the exception. the majority of people find the most credible evidence to be photographic which is understandable. Therefore, I don't feel an investigation which claims a location is haunted yet has only orb photographs as evidence is a justice to our progress. A great photo is near impossible to come by. A credible orb photo is hard to come by especially when it wasn't shot as a pair. All I am saying is in respect to the progress of our field as a whole, I believe the community should make a conscious effort to scrutinize evidence harder before publishing it as fact. Your thoughts?

Re: Posting Credible Evidence Posted by EmilyHillGhostWriter - 29 Sep 2013 20:33

I agree. The scientific approach is to continue to disprove yourself [peer review] until all theories are exhausted. It would be both interesting and invigorating to see a national conference/convention under the banner ParaUnity that would include standing committees - including a committee on evidence standards.

Unless one is a courtroom wannabe this probably sounds boring; but I think it's necessary.

Re: Posting Credible Evidence Posted by bergenparanormal - 30 Sep 2013 01:02

I could not agree more on having a standardized committee. With the amount of time and effort and MONEY we drop into this, there is no reason we could not go this extra step. Time to step it up people!